Office management of lost intrauterine devices either with or without strings
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Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the efficacy of our method for retrieval of lost intrauterine devices (IUDs) either with or without strings in an office-based setting.

Methods: A total of 38 women underwent retrieval of lost IUD. After preevaluation with ultrasonography and hysteroscopy, a Lin polyp grasper was used to remove the IUD under ultrasound monitoring without using a simultaneous hysteroscopy.

Results: Out of 38 women, 12 (31.6%) had IUD insertion for 10–19 years, whereas in another 12 women (31.6%), the duration was 20–40 years. Participants were divided into two groups: (1) premenopausal group (n = 21). The removed IUDs were 11 Chinese IUDs, seven FD-1 IUDs, one Yusei ring IUD, one Lippe loop IUD, and one Mirena IUD; and (2) postmenopausal group (n = 17). The removed IUDs were five soft type Ota ring IUDs, eight FD-1 IUDs, one Saf-T-Coil IUD, one KS wing IUD, and one Chinese IUD. A very hard type Ota ring IUD inserted for 40 years could not be removed. All of the other IUDs were removed uneventfully. Most of the patients could tolerate the procedure without the use of analgesia or anesthesia. No subsequent complication except bleeding for several days was encountered.

Conclusion: Using our method, lost IUDs either with or without strings can be effectively and safely retrieved in the office-based setting without analgesia or anesthesia.

Introduction

It has been estimated that more than 168 million women are using different kinds of intrauterine devices (IUDs) for contraception all over the world.1 There are two kinds of IUD. One is made with a string for easy removal, whereas the other is with no strings (Fig. 1) and its retrieval may be difficult. To remove them, the use of hysteroscopy with grasping forceps has been reported.2 The procedure was to find the missing string in the majority of the cases,3–5 whereas for those with no strings or with strings torn off, the approach was to hold the IUD directly with a grasping forceps as one withdrew the hysteroscope.6 However, conventional hysteroscopic grasping forceps are so small and weak that very often the IUD would slip from the forceps and cannot be removed. In order to solve this problem, a strong Lin polyp grasper,7 which was originally designed for the removal of endometrial polyps was used to catch and remove the IUDs without using a simultaneous hysteroscopy. This paper describes our experiences of how to manage difficult-to-remove IUDs with or without strings in an office-based setting.

Materials and methods

From March 2006 to August 2012, 38 women, aged from 26 years to 84 years, with the diagnosis of missed IUD underwent retrieval without analgesia or anesthesia. The majority of the patients were referred from other physicians after unsuccessful retrieval. Four women with FD-1 IUD inserted for preventing intrauterine adhesions after hysteroscopic myomectomy8 were enrolled in the study because of the missing string.
evaluate the uterine condition. Then a diagnostic hysteroscopy was performed to confirm the type and location of the IUD as well as the intrauterine condition. (2) Cervical dilatation was done using Hegar dilators from the size of Number 1 up to Number 4. (3) Finally, a Lin polyp grasper (Yoshida Co, Saitama, Japan) was inserted into the uterine cavity under ultrasound guidance without simultaneous hysteroscopy to catch and remove the IUD (Fig. 2). In most cases, a tenaculum was not used.

Results

Out of 38 women, 12 (31.6%) had the IUD insertion for 10–19 years, whereas in another 12 women (31.6%) the duration was 20–40 years. Women were divided into two groups. Table 1 shows the indications for retrieval of the IUDs between two groups. Table 2 shows the characteristics of the 12 women with the duration of IUD insertion ≥20 years.

Premenopausal group (n = 21). The age was 26–51 years (mean 39.9 ± 6.9 years). The removed IUDs were 11 Chinese IUDs, five FD-1 IUDs with missing strings, two FD-1 IUDs with strings torn off, one Yusei ring IUD, one Lippe loop IUD with a string torn off, and one Mirena IUD with a missing string. In five women, the duration of insertion was 1–4 months. In the other 16 women, the duration was 1–20 years (mean 9.5 ± 5.0 years). Missing strings of both FD-1 IUDs and Mirena IUDs were removed by grasping the IUD directly with polyps grasper without cervical dilation.

Postmenopausal group (n = 17 women). The age was 44–84 years (mean 61.7 ± 10.9 years). The duration of postmenopausal period was 1–40 years (mean 10.6 ± 11.4 years). The duration of IUD insertion was 1–40 years (mean 22.3 ± 11.9 years). The removed IUDs were eight FD-1 IUDs (five torn off strings, two missing strings, and one visible string), five soft type Ota ring IUDs, one Saf-T-Coil IUD, one KS wing IUD, and one Chinese IUD. The FD-1 IUD with visible string had been inserted for 20 years. This patient was a 59-year-old, gravida 5 para 5, woman with a chief complaint of abnormal uterine bleeding. Diagnostic hysteroscopy revealed mild intrauterine adhesions. After cervical dilation, the IUD was removed successfully with a Lin polyp grasper. A 75-year-old postmenopausal woman, gravida 4 para 2, with a hard type Ota ring inserted for 40 years, had no clinical symptom but wanted to retrieve her IUD. The IUD could not be removed because the consistency was very hard. Without anesthesia and further cervical dilation, it was impossible to remove this IUD. She declined further procedures. All of the other IUDs were removed uneventfully. Most of the patients could tolerate the procedure well without analgesia of anesthesia. No subsequent complication other than bleeding for several days was encountered.

Discussion

Some IUDs with strings were left in place so many years that the bond between the IUD and its string became weak. This may have led the string to be torn off, causing the retrieval to be difficult in some cases. There are also many Japanese IUDs without strings that are still left in place in many women. They have not been produced for many years because of the difficult removal. However, because our method is to grasp and remove the IUD directly, there is no concern about the existence of the strings.

Even so, among different kinds of IUDs with no strings, the hardest to remove were the Chinese stainless steel ring IUDs.12,13 Firm intrauterine adhesions may be formed through this IUD that adhesiolysis becomes necessary before retrieval. Figs. 3 and 4 show a Chinese stainless steel ring IUD that was inserted 20 years ago and was incarcerated by dense intrauterine adhesions in a 51-year-old postmenopausal woman.

This case was not enrolled in the study because adhesiolysis was done and the ring IUD was retrieved in the operating room under general anesthesia. There has been a report of Chinese stainless steel ring IUD incarcerated by submucous myoma, which required simultaneous hysteroscopic myomectomy.

Another concern exists when trying to pull the Chinese stainless steel ring IUDs. They may be stretched and become a piece of wire

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Premenopausal (n)</th>
<th>Postmenopausal (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient request</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope for childbearing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Different types of Chinese IUDs with no strings.

Fig. 2. Successful retrieval of an Ota ring IUD with a Lin polyps grasper. This IUD had been inserted for 40 years in a 71-year-old, G3P3, woman with a chief complaint of abnormal uterine bleeding.
(Fig. 5). The wire may be broken and the remnant left inside the uterus. We have experienced a case of a Chinese stainless ring IUD remnant embedded in the cervix that required resectoscopic operation. It is recommended to take a plain low abdominal X-ray after the retrieval procedure to confirm the possible remnant if the wire is broken.

IUD is a cause of uterine bleeding and infection. Out of 17 postmenopausal women, 11 (64.7%) had abnormal uterine bleeding. It is well known that the incidence of endometrial malignancy in the woman with postmenopausal bleeding is high. The inserted IUD may interfere in the intrauterine examination for malignancy. When the symptom persists, it is then necessary to remove the IUD. However, in a postmenopausal woman with a small atrophic uterus, retrieval of IUD becomes difficult. Uterine perforation or traumatic uterine bleeding may be encountered with violent retrieval; therefore, hysterectomy or hysterotomy remain the main options for this situation.

Ring-shaped IUD in comparison with T-shaped IUD has the disadvantages of difficult insertion and difficult retrieval. However, most of the ectopic or perforated IUDs, which we did not experience, are reported for T-shaped IUDs.

**Conclusion**

With our method, lost IUDs either with or without strings can be removed safely and effectively in an office-based setting, without anesthesia or analgesia.
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